

Gibberellins modulate light signaling pathways to prevent Arabidopsis seedling de-etiolation in darkness

David Alabadi^{1,2}, Javier Gallego-Bartolomé¹, Leonardo Orlando¹, Laura García-Cárcel¹, Vicente Rubio³, Cristina Martínez⁴, Martín Frigerio¹, Juan Manuel Iglesias-Pedraz³, Ana Espinosa³, Xing Wang Deng⁴ and Miguel A. Blázquez^{1,*}

¹Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas (CSIC-UPV), Av de los Naranjos s/n, 46022-Valencia, Spain,

²Fundación de la Comunidad Valenciana para la Investigación Agroalimentaria 'Agroalimed', Cantoblanco, 28049-Madrid, Spain,

³Departamento de Genética Molecular de Plantas, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CSIC), Cantoblanco, 28049-Madrid, Spain, and

⁴Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520-8104, USA

Received 3 July 2007; revised 1 October 2007; accepted 8 October 2007.

*For correspondence (fax +34 96 3877859; e-mail mblazquez@ibmcp.upv.es).

Summary

In many plants, photomorphogenesis is the default developmental program after seed germination, and provides the key features that allow adaptation to light. This program is actively repressed if germination occurs in the absence of light, through a mechanism dependent on the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity that is encoded in Arabidopsis by COP1 (CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1), which induces proteolytic degradation of transcription factors necessary for light-regulated development, such as HY5 (LONG HYPOCOTYL 5) and HYH (LONG HYPOCOTYL 5 HOMOLOG), and stabilization of transcription factors that promote skotomorphogenesis, such as PIF3 (PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 3). Seedlings deficient in gibberellin (GA) synthesis or signaling display a de-etiolated phenotype when grown in darkness, equivalent to the phenotype of *cop1* mutants, which indicates that the switch between photo- and skotomorphogenesis is also under hormonal control. Here we provide evidence for the existence of crosstalk between GA and the COP1-mediated pathway, and identify HY5 and the PIF family as nodes of a regulatory network. This interaction occurs through distinct molecular mechanisms, based on the observation that GA signaling regulates protein stability of HY5, and the activity of PIF3.

Keywords: gibberellin, light signaling, de-etiolation, cross-talk, Arabidopsis.

Introduction

Plant development is mostly post-embryonic. The basic axes of the plant body are established during embryo development, with a short root and the root apical meristem at one end, and with hypocotyl, cotyledons and shoot apical meristem at the other. Growth and production of all new organs begins after germination. This, together with the sessile lifestyle of plants, implies that they have multiple opportunities to modulate growth rate and development according to the changing environmental conditions. Plants have developed complex systems to constantly monitor their surrounding environment. This information is integrated by endogenous cues such as hormones or the circadian clock to adjust their growth and

development accordingly. This ability of plants is referred to as plasticity. The current hypothesis is that such plasticity is due to a complex web of interactions between signaling pathways coupling endogenous and environmental cues (Casal *et al.*, 2004).

The earliest example of plasticity in plant development occurs just after germination. Seedlings follow skotomorphogenic development if seeds germinate in the dark, whereas the alternative developmental program, photomorphogenesis, is triggered if seeds germinate in the light (Neff *et al.*, 2000). Signaling initiated at the various photoreceptors conveys inactivation of COP1 (CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1), which acts as

a global repressor of photomorphogenesis; this program is therefore the default pathway after germination (Huq, 2006; Wei *et al.*, 1994). Accordingly, dark-grown mutant seedlings that are defective in COP1 activity resemble wild-type seedlings grown in the light (Deng *et al.*, 1991). COP1 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that, after germination in darkness, targets for degradation transcription factors that promote photomorphogenesis, but allows accumulation of others that promote etiolated growth (Huq, 2006; Lorrain *et al.*, 2006). The first group includes LAF1 (LONG AFTER FAR-RED LIGHT 1), HFR1 (LONG HYPOCOTYL IN FAR-RED LIGHT 1), HY5 (LONG HYPOCOTYL 5) and HYH (LONG HYPOCOTYL 5 HOMOLOG) (Ballesteros *et al.*, 2001; Duek and Fankhauser, 2003; Holm *et al.*, 2002; Oyama *et al.*, 1997). Mutant seedlings deficient in any of these transcription factors are hyposensitive to light-induced de-etiolation, although this defect depends in some cases on the light quality. For example, *laf1* mutants do not respond properly to far-red light, while *hy5* mutants show defects under all light qualities tested (Ballesteros *et al.*, 2001; Koornneef *et al.*, 1980). The second group includes PIF1 (PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 1), PIF3 and PIF4/SRL2, and mutant seedlings deficient in any of them are hypersensitive to light-induced de-etiolation. These activities also show preferences for different qualities of light; for instance, *srl2/pif4* and *pif3* mutants are hypersensitive to red light, whereas *pif1* mutants are hypersensitive to both red and far-red light (Huq and Quail, 2002; Kim *et al.*, 2003; Oh *et al.*, 2004; Shen *et al.*, 2005).

De-etiolation is also controlled by endogenous cues such as hormones. Various studies have shown that correct hormone homeostasis in etiolated seedlings is essential to properly control the transition between skotomorphogenesis and photomorphogenesis (Vandenbussche *et al.*, 2005). For instance, plants defective in either gibberellin (GA) or brassinosteroid metabolism or signaling are not able to fully repress photomorphogenesis after germination in darkness, and seedlings appear partially de-etiolated, i.e. they lose their apical hook and have open cotyledons, and expression of genes typically upregulated by light is elevated (Achard *et al.*, 2003; Alabadi *et al.*, 2004; Li *et al.*, 1996; Szekeres *et al.*, 1996; Vriezen *et al.*, 2004).

Is this developmental transition controlled independently by plant hormones and light, or do they exert joint control on this process? We have addressed this question by studying whether the GA and light signaling pathways interact in the control of this developmental switch. We show that interaction does exist, and that GAs control this process by modulating the activity of the HY5 and PIF light signaling elements, which therefore represent integration nodes for both pathways. These interactions, revealed in the context of photomorphogenic development, might also extend to other stages of plant development.

Results and discussion

GA repression of photomorphogenesis in darkness coincides with COP1 action

After germination in darkness, the activity of COP1 is critical during the first 3 days to establish the proper seedling developmental program, i.e. skotomorphogenesis versus photomorphogenesis (Hsieh *et al.*, 2000; Ma *et al.*, 2002). To establish whether GA and COP1 signaling exert joint control of the transition between these two alternative programs, we tested whether GA action was also restricted to the window of COP1 activity, or whether it is continuously required during the whole period of etiolated growth. Interestingly, seedlings displayed a de-etiolated phenotype, as estimated by hypocotyl length, cotyledon opening or *CAB2* expression (Figure 1a,b), as long as GA biosynthesis had been prevented during the first 3 days of growth after germination. This suggests that active GA biosynthesis during the first 3 days after germination in darkness is important to promote etiolated growth.

Nonetheless, to rule out the possibility that GAs accumulated during the first 3 days were enough for seedlings to undergo complete etiolation, we designed a second strategy in which GA signaling, rather than GA biosynthesis, was blocked. For that purpose, we prepared Arabidopsis transgenic lines expressing a dominant version of the negative GA signaling element GAI (GA INSENSITIVE; Peng *et al.*, 1997) under the control of a heat-shock inducible promoter (*Hsp*; Matsuhara *et al.*, 2000). The *gai-1* mutation had been shown to confer partial de-etiolation in darkness, indicating that GAI participates in the GA signaling pathway controlling this response (Alabadi *et al.*, 2004). Three-day-old dark-grown *Hsp::gai-1* seedlings strongly and transiently expressed *gai-1* mRNA in response to a 3 h heat-shock treatment at 37°C (Figure S1). Most notably, dark-grown *Hsp::gai-1* seedlings subject to a daily 3 h heat-shock treatment starting 3 days after germination showed an etiolated phenotype, whereas those that received the heat shock starting 1 or 2 days after germination showed clear de-etiolation (Figure 1c,d). The reverse experiment supported the hypothesis of a temporal window for GA action, as a daily heat-shock treatment applied during the first 3 days after germination was enough to induce a strong de-etiolated phenotype, identical to control seedlings that received the heat shock for 8 days, while seedlings that received the heat shock only on the first and second days after germination were etiolated (Figure S2).

These results define a time limit of 3 days after germination during which GA activity determines, together with COP1, the nature of the developmental program that seedlings will follow. If this temporal coincidence truly reflects interaction between both pathways, then, according to the current model of COP1 repression of light signaling, one or




Figure 1. Temporal window of GA action for repression of photomorphogenesis in darkness.

(a, b) Wild-type Col-0 seedlings were grown in darkness in control medium for 0–4 days before transfer to medium containing 1 μM of the GA biosynthesis inhibitor paclobutrazol (PAC). Hypocotyl length and cotyledon opening (a), and *CAB2* transcript levels (b) were determined in 10-day-old seedlings. Hypocotyl lengths and cotyledon opening angles were measured as previously described (Alabadi *et al.*, 2004); open squares and closed circles represent hypocotyl length and the angle between cotyledons, respectively. Error bars in (a) indicate the standard error of the mean ($n = 15$). In (b), total RNA was extracted and processed as previously described (Alabadi *et al.*, 2004). Blots were probed for *CAB2* and then re-probed for *18S rRNA* without previous stripping. *CAB2* signals were normalized to those of *18S rRNA*, and the signal level at time point zero was arbitrarily set to 1.

(c, d) Wild-type Col-0 and *Hsp::gai-1* dark-grown seedlings received a daily 3 h heat-shock treatment at 37°C for 0–8 days, starting on various days after germination. Hypocotyl length (c) and *CAB2* transcript levels (d) were determined in 9-day-old seedlings. Error bars in (c) indicate the standard error of the mean ($n = 15$); open and closed circles represent *Hsp::gai-1* and wild-type seedlings, respectively. *CAB2* signals were normalized to those of *18S rRNA*; the level at time point zero was arbitrarily set to 1.

more of the transcription factors regulated by COP1 would be expected to mediate the de-etiolation caused by reduced GA levels or signaling. They would represent integration nodes for the GA and light signaling pathways in the control of photomorphogenesis. Therefore, we assessed the phenotype of Arabidopsis mutants defective in the activity of these transcription factors when GA synthesis was compromised in darkness.

The GA pathway targets HY5 activity to repress photomorphogenesis in darkness

Several genes are known to encode transcription factors that are required to establish photomorphogenesis, such as *LAF1*, *HFR1*, *HYH*, and *HY5* (Ballesteros *et al.*, 2001; Duek and Fankhauser, 2003; Holm *et al.*, 2002; Oyama *et al.*, 1997). Mutants defective in these genes do not de-etiolate properly in the light, and genetic analyses with some of these mutants have shown that loss-of-function alleles in these genes partially suppress the de-etiolated phenotype caused

by *cop1* mutations in darkness (Kim *et al.*, 2002). Seedlings harboring loss-of-function mutations in *HFR1* and *LAF1* showed a de-etiolated phenotype in darkness in the presence of 1 μM paclobutrazol (PAC), which was not different to the phenotype of their corresponding wild-types (data not shown). However, the de-etiolation caused by PAC was partially suppressed in *hy5* mutants (Figures 2a,b and 3, and Figure S3), although this ability was dependent on the genetic background. For example, the *hy5-215* (Ang and Deng, 1994) and *hy5-ks50* (Oyama *et al.*, 1997) null alleles, in the Columbia-0 (Col-0) and Wassilewskija (Ws) genetic backgrounds, respectively, strongly suppressed the cotyledon opening phenotype caused by 1 μM PAC treatment, contrasting with the weaker effect of the *hy5-1* null mutant (Koornneef *et al.*, 1980) in the Landsberg *erecta* (*Ler*) genetic background. However, only the *hy5-215* allele was able to partially suppress hypocotyl growth arrest (Figure S3, and data not shown). The ability to suppress these phenotypes was also observed at lower doses of PAC, mainly in the Col-0 and Ws alleles (Figure S3, and data not shown). These




Figure 2. HY5 activity mediates GA control of photomorphogenesis in darkness.

(a, b) Seven-day-old wild-type *Ler* and *hy5-1* seedlings (left panel), and wild-type *Ws*, 35S::*HY5* (*HY5ox*) and *HY5::S36A* (*hy5-S36A*) seedlings (right panel), were grown in darkness in control and 1 μM PAC media. Two representative seedlings per genotype and per treatment are shown in (a). In (b), the angle between cotyledons in PAC medium is shown, measured as previously described (Alabadi *et al.*, 2004). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean ($n = 15$). Black and white bars represent wild-type and mutant lines, respectively. The cotyledon angle is zero for all genotypes in control medium; deg, degrees.

(c, d) *CAB2* (c) and *RbcS* (d) transcript levels in wild-type *Ler* and *hy5-1* 7-day-old seedlings grown in the dark in control (cont) and 1 μM PAC media. Each sample of total RNA was run and transferred to a membrane in duplicate, probed for *CAB2* or *RbcS*, and then re-probed for *18S rRNA* as described in Figure 1. Numbers below the panels indicate the *18S rRNA*-normalized intensity of the *CAB2* and *RbcS* signals relative to those of wild-type in control medium, which were set arbitrarily to 1.

differences that depend on the genetic background are consistent with the strong component of natural genetic variation found in this GA response in Arabidopsis (D.A. and M.A.B., unpublished data). Therefore, HY5 activity is limiting for cotyledon opening and hypocotyl growth arrest in a physiological context with reduced GA levels.

Conversely, a transgenic line that is hypermorphic for HY5 activity, *HY5::S36A* (Hardtke *et al.*, 2000), as well as a transgenic line overexpressing the wild-type version of the protein from a constitutive promoter, 35S::*HY5* (Ang *et al.*, 1998), were hypersensitive to a block in GA biosynthesis in darkness with regard to the cotyledon opening trait (Figure 2a,b). The hypersensitivity was also observed at lower doses of PAC (data not shown). In both cases, the phenotype was opposite to that of the null *hy5* mutants. Interestingly, hyperactivity of the *HY5::S36A* transgene had previously been described only in the light, when COP1 is inactive (Hardtke *et al.*, 2000), whereas 35S::*HY5* lines showed a wild-type phenotype both in the light and in the dark (Ang *et al.*, 1998). However, our results reveal their hyperactivity in darkness in a GA-deficient physiological context, suggesting that the GA pathway may have a negative effect on HY5 levels or activity in etiolated seedlings.

We also studied these morphological traits in dark-grown, PAC-treated *hyh* mutant seedlings, which carry a null allele for the closest *HY5* homolog, *HYH* (in the *Ws* background; Holm *et al.*, 2002). This mutation did not affect hypocotyl growth (data not shown); however, in contrast to *hy5*, loss of *HYH* function caused a hypersensitive response to PAC treatment for cotyledon opening (Figure 3). This effect required the presence of HY5, as shown by epistasis analysis of *hy5 hyh* double mutants (Figure 3). This suggests that *HYH* may negatively regulate HY5 activity with regard to

cotyledon opening, at least in response to low GA levels. These two proteins interact *in vivo* (Holm *et al.*, 2002), and this result illustrates a specific effect of this interaction that may be relevant for the control of photomorphogenesis, and that seems to be intrinsically different from their redundant role as negative regulators of auxin signaling (Sibout *et al.*, 2006).

Consistent with a broad involvement of HY5 in GA-mediated repression of photomorphogenesis, *hy5* mutants showed reduced expression of *CAB2* in response to several doses of PAC compared with the corresponding wild-type, but *RbcS* expression was not affected at any concentration of PAC tested (Figure 2c,d, Figure S3, and data not shown). However, the *hyh* mutation did not affect expression of either of the two markers, and seedlings of the *hy5-ks50 hyh* double mutant showed the same phenotype as the single *hy5-ks50* mutant (Figure S3).

These results contrast with previous observations that etiolated *hy5* mutants did not show any defect in *CAB2* expression in response to a short red-light pulse (Anderson *et al.*, 1997), and the dependency of *RbcS* promoter activity upon HY5 in response to continuous light of various qualities (Osterlund *et al.*, 2000a). Our results suggest that distinct physiological conditions allow the identification of various limiting components of the signaling network that controls the light-regulated switch between developmental programs.

The genetic evidence for the involvement of HY5 in the regulation of photomorphogenesis by GA points to the possibility that the GA pathway negatively regulates HY5 in darkness. A mechanism for this interaction is provided by the observation that HY5 protein accumulates in GA-deficient conditions in darkness (Figure 4b) without affecting *HY5* mRNA levels (Figure 4a). This accumulation was not




Figure 3. Interaction between HYH and HY5 in the regulation by GA of de-etiolation.

(a, b) Seven-day-old wild-type WS, *hy5-ks50*, *hyh* and *hy5 hyh* seedlings were grown in darkness in control and in 1 μM PAC media. Two representative seedlings per genotype and per treatment are shown in (a). In (b), the angle between cotyledons in PAC medium is shown. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean ($n = 15$); deg, degrees.

apparent in seedlings overexpressing the potato ortholog of the positive GA signaling element *SLY1* (*SLEEPY 1*; McGinnis *et al.*, 2003; Figure 4b), which supports the participation of GA signaling in the regulation of HY5 protein levels. It is very likely that GA regulates HY5 stability by modulation of COP1 activity, as neither exogenous GA nor PAC application affected HY5 levels in dark-grown seedlings of the weak allele *cop1-4* (Figure 4c,d). Moreover, COP1 protein levels were not significantly affected in response to altered GA levels in dark-grown seedlings (Figure 4e).

Our results suggest that HY5 acts as a target for the integration of multiple signaling pathways (including GA and light), a view which is in agreement with previous observations that HY5 also mediates the effect of exogenous cytokinins in blue-light-induced accumulation of anthocyanin (Vandenbussche *et al.*, 2007).

The GA pathway enhances the activity of PIF transcription factors to promote etiolated growth

In contrast to HY5, which has a positive role on photomorphogenesis, other proteins such as PIF1, PIF3 and PIF4 (Huq and Quail, 2002; Kim *et al.*, 2003; Monte *et al.*, 2004; Shen *et al.*, 2005) have been proposed to also regulate




Figure 4. Gibberellins modulate HY5 protein levels.

(a) *HY5* transcript levels in 4-day-old wild-type Col-0 seedlings grown in the dark in control and 1 μM PAC media. The blot was re-probed for *18S rRNA* as a loading control.

(b) Four-day-old wild-type Col-0 and *StSLY1ox* seedlings (overexpressing the *Solanum tuberosum* ortholog of the Arabidopsis *SLY1* gene) were grown in darkness in control (–) or 1 μM PAC media (+). Total proteins were extracted, and HY5 accumulation was analyzed by Western blot using anti-HY5 antibodies (Osterlund *et al.*, 2000b). CSN3 levels were used as a loading control (Peng *et al.*, 2001). Total protein samples from 4-day-old wild-type Col-0 light-grown seedlings (white fluorescent light, 90–100 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$) and from 4-day-old *hy5-215* dark-grown seedlings were used as controls for antibody specificity. Arrows indicate HY5 protein bands. The asterisk indicates a cross-reactive band that also appears in the *hy5-215* extract.

(c) HY5 protein levels in 4-day-old dark-grown wild-type Col-0 and *cop1-4* mutant seedlings, which were grown in the presence (+) or the absence (–) of 10 μM of GA₃. Protein levels were analyzed as described in (b).

(d) HY5 protein levels in 4-day-old dark-grown wild-type Col-0 and *cop1-4* mutant seedlings, which were grown in the presence (P) or in the absence (–) of 1 μM PAC, or in medium supplemented with 1 μM of PAC + 10 μM of GA₃ (P + G). Protein levels were analyzed as described in (b).

(e) Four-day-old wild-type Col-0 and *cop1-4* seedlings were grown in darkness in control (–) or 1 μM PAC media (P), or in medium supplemented with 1 μM of PAC + 10 μM of GA₃ (P + G). Total proteins were extracted, and COP1 accumulation was analyzed by Western blot using anti-COP1 antibodies (McNeils *et al.*, 1994).

skotomorphogenesis (Lorrain *et al.*, 2007), based, for instance, on the phenotype of *pif1* mutants in darkness (Huq *et al.*, 2004; Oh *et al.*, 2004). PIF1 and PIF3 accumulate in etiolated seedlings, and this accumulation has been shown to depend on COP1, at least for PIF3 (Bauer *et al.*, 2004; Park *et al.*, 2004; Shen *et al.*, 2005). Consistent with the hypothesis that GAs regulate etiolated growth by interfering with light signaling elements, dark-grown seedlings of *pif1-1*, *pif1-2*, *pif3-1* and *pif4/srl2* null alleles showed enhanced cotyledon opening and enhanced hypocotyl growth arrest in response to PAC treatment compared with the corresponding wild-type (Figure 5a–c and Figure S4). The opposite phenotype for both traits was observed in a line overexpressing *PIF3* (Figures 5a–c and Figure S4; Kim *et al.*, 2003). Further support for the connection between GA and PIFs in the control of gene expression comes from the observation that genes regulated by PIF3 in darkness (Monte *et al.*, 2004) are affected by GA in an equivalent way (Figure 5d). For instance, *ELIP-A* and *LhcB1.4*, two genes that are repressed by PIF3 in darkness, were also repressed by GA, while two genes whose expression is induced by PIF3 in darkness (At1g55240 and At2g17500) were also upregulated by GA. As expected, *LHY*, a light-regulated gene whose expression is not dependent on PIF3, was not affected by PAC treatment either.


These results are consistent with a model in which the promotion of growth by GAs is mediated, to a large extent, by positive regulation of PIF proteins. This model is

supported by the observation that overexpression of *StSLY1* causes PIF3-dependent resistance to de-etiolation in the absence of GA (Figure 6a,b). As the negative GA signaling elements GAI and RGA (REPRESSOR OF *ga1-3*) are the main targets for SLY1 (Dill *et al.*, 2004; Fu *et al.*, 2004), and GAI and RGA mediate GA repression of photomorphogenesis in darkness (Alabadi *et al.*, 2004), it is reasonable to assume that both proteins may participate in directly or indirectly regulating the activity of PIFs. In fact, seedlings overexpressing *gai-1* (35S::*gai-1*) phenocopied *pif1-2* null mutant seedlings with regard to chlorophyll accumulation in response to white-light-induced de-etiolation (Figure 6c; Huq *et al.*, 2004).

The mechanism by which the GA pathway would have a positive effect on PIF activity does not involve transcriptional regulation of these genes, as the mRNA levels of *PIF1*, *PIF3*, and *PIF4* were similar in dark-grown, PAC-treated or untreated seedlings (Figure S5). To gauge the effect of GA activity on the PIF protein levels, we used a transgenic Arabidopsis line overexpressing a *myc*-tagged version of PIF3 from the 35S promoter (*PIF3-myc*; Park *et al.*, 2004). Remarkably, the PIF3-*myc* protein content was not reduced when GA synthesis was blocked. On the contrary, it seemed to be higher than in control seedlings (Figure 7a). Light induces PIF3 phosphorylation during seedling de-etiolation prior to degradation by the proteasome (Al-Sady *et al.*, 2006), yet it seems that GA does not exert this control over PIF3 in dark-grown seedlings, as no slower-migrating bands

Figure 5. PIF genes mediate the promotion of skotomorphogenesis by gibberellins.

(a–c) Seven-day-old wild-type Col-0, *pif1-1*, *pif1-2* and *pif3* (left panel), wild-type *Ws* and *srl2* (middle panel), and wild-type *Ler* and *PIF3ox* (right panel) seedlings were grown in darkness in control and 1 μM PAC media. Two representative seedlings per genotype in PAC medium are shown in (a). Hypocotyl lengths in both media (b), and the angle between cotyledons in PAC medium (c), were measured as previously described (Alabadi *et al.*, 2004); the cotyledon angle is zero for all genotypes in control medium. In (b), the closed triangle, circle and square represent wild-type Col-0, *Ws* and *Ler*, respectively. In (c), black and white bars represent wild-type and mutant lines, respectively. Error bars in (b) and (c) indicate the standard error of the mean (*n* = 15). (d) Relative expression level of PIF3 target genes in 4-day-old seedlings grown in darkness, analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-PCR). Error bars represent the standard deviation (*n* = 3).






Figure 6. Functional interaction between PIF proteins and GA signaling.

(a, b) Seven-day-old wild-type Col-0, *pif3-1*, *StSLY1ox* and *pif3 StSLY1ox* seedlings were grown in darkness in control and 1 μM PAC media. Hypocotyl length (a) and the angle between cotyledons (b) in PAC medium were measured as previously described (Alabadi *et al.*, 2004). Hypocotyl lengths (\pm standard error of the mean, $n = 15$) in control medium were 15.61 ± 1.56 mm (wild-type Col-0), 17.13 ± 0.77 mm (*pif3-1*), 15.78 ± 0.78 mm (*StSLY1ox*), and 16.13 ± 0.79 mm (*pif3 StSLY1ox*). The cotyledon angle is zero for all genotypes in control medium. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean ($n = 15$).

(c) Four-day-old wild-type Col-0, *pif1-2* and *35S::gai-1* seedlings were grown in darkness and transferred to white fluorescent light ($90\text{--}100 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$) for the indicated times. Chlorophyll from samples was extracted and quantified. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean ($n = 12$).

could be detected after running gels longer (data not shown). Based on the genetic data shown above, this accumulated PIF3-*myc* protein should represent an inactive or less active version of the protein, or may be part of a higher-order complex that inactivates the protein or reduces its activity. To examine this possibility, we examined the ability of PIF3 to induce the expression of two of its target genes (*ELIP-A* and *LhcB1.4*) in response to 1 h red-light treatments (Figure 7b). In both cases, PAC impaired the observed PIF3-dependent rapid upregulation of these genes. As a control, *LHY* induction by light, which does not depend on PIF3, was not affected. In addition, at this stage of seedlings' life, the regulation of PIF3 by GA seems to be only relevant for etiolated growth, as PAC treatment did not affect the red-light induced degradation of the fusion protein (Figure 7a). It is important to note that, at other stages of development, such as during germination, regulation of the expression of DELLA genes by PIL5 (another member of the PIF family) is particularly relevant (Oh *et al.*, 2007), indicating the high degree of interconnectivity between these two signaling pathways at least, and we cannot rule out the possibility that this phenomenon is also observed during de-etiolation.

All together, our results suggest that the GA pathway promotes etiolated growth by preventing the accumulation of an inactive form of the involved PIF proteins in dark-grown seedlings, a mechanism that is intrinsically different from the GA-dependent accumulation of HY5.

Light regulates the GA pathway during de-etiolation

How relevant is the observed modulation by GAs of the HY5 and PIF light signaling elements in a natural context? The fate of etiolated seedlings in nature is to de-etiolate; thus, it is reasonable to consider that, if the regulation of photomorphogenesis by GAs is physiologically relevant, illumina-




Figure 7. Gibberellins regulate PIF activity in etiolated seedlings.

(a) *PIF3-myc* seedlings were grown in darkness in control (con), 1 μM PAC, or 1 μM PAC + 10 μM GA₃ media for 4 days, and transferred to red light ($10 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$) for the indicated times (minutes). Total proteins were extracted, and PIF3-*myc* accumulation was analyzed by Western blot using an anti-[c-*myc*]-peroxidase antibody (clone E910, Roche). DET3 levels were used as a loading control (Duek *et al.*, 2004).

(b) Effect upon gene expression of a 1 h red-light treatment of 4-day-old etiolated seedlings. Expression was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR, and fold induction was calculated relative to expression of the corresponding genes before the treatment. Error bars represent the standard deviation ($n = 3$). The concentration of reagents is the same as in (a).

tion would interfere with GA action. Two pieces of evidence seem to support this view; first, light caused a dramatic and transient downregulation of expression of four

of the genes encoding key enzymes in the GA biosynthetic pathway (*AtGA20ox1*, 2 and 3, and *AtGA3ox1*; Figure 8a; see also Achard *et al.*, 2007). Simultaneously, expression of several genes encoding GA-inactivating enzymes was increased, especially that of *AtGA2ox1*, whose transcript levels increased by over two orders of magnitude in 2 h (Figure 8a). Interestingly, transient downregulation of GA concentration upon illumination is not species-specific, as it is also observed in pea plants (Reid *et al.*, 2002), in which GAs are the main hormones regulating photomorphogenesis (Alabadí *et al.*, 2004). This transcriptional regulation presumably results in depletion of active GAs in Arabidopsis, as shown in pea (Folta *et al.*, 2003; Gil and García-Martínez, 2000). Indeed this may be the case, as hypocotyl growth arrest during blue-light-induced de-etiolation in Arabidopsis is dependent on GA levels (Folta *et al.*, 2003), and a GFP fusion of the DELLA protein RGA accumulates in

elongating cells of the hypocotyl 2 h after transferring etiolated Arabidopsis transgenic seedlings to light (Achard *et al.*, 2007). Moreover, physiological relevance is not restricted to the control of cell expansion, as indicated by the observation that white-light-induced expression of *CAB2* was delayed when seedlings were forced to undergo de-etiolation in the presence of exogenous GA₃ (Figure 8b), or, as shown above, that the pace of chlorophyll accumulation in response to white-light-induced de-etiolation is altered in 35S::*gai-1* seedlings compared to the wild-type (Figure 6c).

A molecular model for the interaction between light and gibberellins for the control of photomorphogenesis


In summary, we propose that accurate establishment of the most appropriate developmental program in an emerging

Figure 8. Light signaling regulates GA metabolism during de-etiolation.

(a) Three-day-old wild-type Col-0 seedlings were grown in the dark and transferred to white fluorescent light (90–100 μmol m⁻² sec⁻¹) for the indicated times. Transcript levels were determined by quantitative RT-PCR. The maximum expression level for each gene was set to 1.

(b) Wild-type Col-0 seedlings were grown in the dark in control or in 10 μM GA₃ media for 4 days, and then transferred to white fluorescent light (90–100 μmol m⁻² sec⁻¹) for the indicated times. The graph shows *CAB2* expression, analyzed as described in Figure 1. The normalized *CAB2* signal at time point zero in the control sample was set to 1, and all other signals are relative to it. Open and closed circles represent control and GA₃-treated samples, respectively.

(c) Model illustrating interactions between light and GA pathways in the control of de-etiolation. After germination in the dark, COP1 is very active and promotes both the degradation of transcription factors inductors of photomorphogenesis, such as HY5, and the accumulation of active PIF proteins (circles), which support etiolated growth. Under these conditions, high GA levels (yellow triangles) result in low DELLA accumulation (Vriezen *et al.*, 2004), thus largely preventing their negative effect on the activity of PIF proteins (squares) and their positive effect on HY5 accumulation. All these interactions lead to promotion of skotomorphogenesis. When GA levels are pharmacologically reduced with PAC, DELLA proteins stabilize (Vriezen *et al.*, 2004) and then partially inhibit PIF activity and promote HY5 accumulation. This results in partial de-etiolation. During light-induced de-etiolation, GA levels decrease and DELLA proteins are stabilized (Achard *et al.*, 2007), subsequently alleviating the negative effect of GA signaling on photomorphogenesis. Accumulating DELLAs may inhibit the activity of residual PIF proteins and enhance HY5 levels.



seedling requires plastic interactions between light signaling and GAs that operate through at least two molecular mechanisms (Figure 8c): on one hand, regulation of HY5 protein levels by COP1 and GAs, which determines the degree of activation or repression of photomorphogenesis; and, on the other hand, regulation of the protein level of the PIF transcription factors by COP1, with an additional level of regulation that represents the modification by GAs of PIF activity. A likely mechanism for this modification is provided by the physical interaction observed *in vivo* between DELLA and PIF proteins (Feng and Deng, Yale University, USA, unpublished data; S. Prat, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CSIC-UAM), Spain, personal communication). In darkness, the high level of COP1 and GA signaling results in complete repression of photomorphogenesis caused by low levels of HY5, and skotomorphogenesis is allowed by the low concentration of DELLA proteins, which permits activity of the PIF transcription factors. Upon illumination, the switch to photomorphogenic development is triggered by inactivation of COP1 signaling and transient accumulation of DELLA proteins, which result in instability and impairment of PIF activity, and also accumulation of HY5. An implication of these findings is the identification of HY5 and the PIF proteins as two of the transcription factors that ultimately exert regulation of gene expression in response to GA signaling. It is also important to note that the crosstalk between light and GAs governs the whole plant life cycle, which implies that the interactions revealed in the context of photomorphogenic development very likely extend to other stages of plant development, such as diurnal control of growth and shade avoidance (Djakovic-Petrovic *et al.*, 2007; Lorrain *et al.*, 2007).

Experimental procedures

Plant strains and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana accessions Col-0, *Ler* and *WS* were used as wild-type. Seeds were sown on sterile Whatman filter papers, placed in plates of half-strength MS medium (Duchefa; <http://www.duchefa.com>), 0.8% w/v agar, 1% w/v sucrose, and stratified at 4°C for 6 days in darkness. Germination was induced by placing the plates for 8 h under white fluorescent light (90–100 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$) at 20°C in a Percival growth chamber E-30B (<http://www.percival-scientific.com>). Next, plates were wrapped in several layers of aluminum foil and kept in darkness at 20°C for the duration of the experiment. In experiments involving chemical treatments, filter papers harboring the seeds were transferred to control or treatment plates at the end of the 8 h period of white light, then plates were wrapped in several layers of aluminum foil and kept in darkness at 20°C for the duration of the experiment. Control plates for PAC treatments (1 μM ; Duchefa) contained 0.01% v/v acetone (final concentration), whereas those for GA₃ treatments (10 μM ; Duchefa) contained 0.014% v/v ethanol (final concentration).

De-etiolation was induced under white fluorescent light (90–100 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$) or red-light (8–10 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$). For red-light treatments, seedling plates were placed within a black box covered with an R filter (600–700 nm; Carolina Biological Supply

Co.; <http://www.carolina.com>). Manipulation of seedlings in darkness was performed under dim green safelight (560 nm, 15 nm half-band, <0.05 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$; Gil and Garcia-Martinez, 2000).

Construction of vectors and generation of transgenic lines

To obtain the *Hsp::gai-1* and *35S::gai-1* constructs, the *gai-1* coding region was amplified by PCR from genomic DNA of the *gai-1* mutant using primers MB89 (5'-GGGATCCGATGAAGAGAGATCATCA-3') and MB90 (5'-CCGGATCCGATGCATCTAATTGGTGGAGAGT-TTC-3'), and cloned into the pCR2.1 vector (Invitrogen, <http://www.invitrogen.com/>). The insert was excised either by *NsiI* digestion and inserted into pCHF3 (Fankhauser *et al.*, 1999) cut with *PstI*, to give rise to the *35S::gai-1* construct, or by *BamHI* digestion and inserted into *BamHI*-digested pTT101 (Matsuhara *et al.*, 2000), to give rise to the *Hsp::gai-1* construct.

To obtain the *StSLY1ox* construct, a potato *SLY1* homolog (accession number TC112417, sharing 55% identity and 66% homology at the amino acid level with the Arabidopsis gene) was identified by searching the TIGR potato database. The coding region of this gene was amplified from a potato first-strand cDNA pool using primers SLY5 (5'-ATGAAGCGCAATTCGACGCCGA-3') and SLY3 (5'-ACAGTAAAACCCAAACCTTAAGC-3'). The resulting PCR product was cloned into the pTZ57R/T vector (Fermentas; <http://www.fermentas.com>), excised from this plasmid by digestion with *EcoRI/XbaI*, and then inserted into the pBinAR vector (Höfgen and Willmitzer, 1990) cut with these enzymes. All constructs were verified by sequencing.

Arabidopsis Col-0 plants were transformed with the various constructs by *Agrobacterium*-mediated DNA transfer (Clough and Bent, 1998). Transgenic seedlings in the T₁ and T₂ generations were selected based on their resistance to the kanamycin antibiotic. Transgenic lines with a segregation ratio 3:1 (resistant:sensitive) were selected, and several homozygous lines were identified in the T₃ generation for each construct. Data from one representative line per construct are shown.

Protein extraction and Western blots

Total proteins for analysis of HY5 and COP1 accumulation were extracted by homogenizing seedlings in one volume of cold extraction buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, and 1× complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche; <http://www.roche-applied-science.com>)]. Extracts were centrifuged at 13 000 *g* for 10 min at 4°C. Protein concentration in the supernatants was quantified by the Bradford assay (Bradford, 1976). Aliquots (30 μg) of denatured total protein were separated in Novex® 4–20% Tris-glycine gels (Invitrogen) and transferred onto PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad, <http://www.bio-rad.com/>).

Total proteins for analysis of PIF3-myc protein content were extracted by homogenizing seedlings in 1 volume of cold extraction buffer (100 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 8 M urea). Extracts were centrifuged at 13 000 *g* for 10 min at 4°C. Protein concentration in the supernatants was quantified using the RC DC protein assay (Bio-Rad). Aliquots (40 μg) of denatured total proteins were separated in Precise™ 8% Tris-HEPES-SDS gels (Pierce; <http://www.piercenet.com>) and transferred onto PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad).

Double mutant construction

Plants expressing the *StSLY1ox* transgene in the *pif3-1* mutant background were obtained by genetic crosses. Plants carrying the *StSLY1ox* transgene were selected among F₂ seedlings based on

their bigger size in the presence of PAC compared to wild type. Twenty plants with the widest rosettes were selected, and the presence of the *StSLY1ox* transgene was verified by PCR using the above-mentioned primers, SLY5 and SLY3, which did not amplify any PCR product from wild-type or *pif3-1* genomic DNA. Plants carrying the transgene were transferred to soil and genotyped for the *pif3-1* mutation, which is caused by a T-DNA insertion in the coding region, as described previously (Kim *et al.*, 2003). Three *pif3-1* homozygous plants were selected. One of these three lines turned out to be a double homozygous *pif3-1 StSLY1ox* mutant because the hypocotyls of all the seedlings in its progeny were tall in MS plates supplemented with 1 μ M PAC.

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and quantitative PCR, as well as primer sequences for amplification of GA metabolism and *EF1- α* genes, have been described previously (Frigerio *et al.*, 2006). The primers used for analyzing mRNA levels of *PIF1*, *PIF3*, *PIF4*, *ELIP-A*, *LhcB1.4*, *At1g55240*, *At2g17500* and *LHY* by quantitative PCR were PIF1f (5'-GTTGCTTTCGAAGCGGTT-3') and PIF1r (5'-GCGCTAGACTTACCTGCGT-3'); PIF3f (5'-CCACGGACCACAGTCCAAG-3') and PIF3r (5'-ATCGCCACTGGTGTGTG-3'); PIF4f (5'-GAGATTAGTTCACCGCGG-3') and PIF4r (5'-GGCACAGACGCGTGTG-3'); ELIPaf (5'-CGGTACAACAGCGATCTTGACA-3') and ELIPar (5'-CAACGCTTATGCCCTTGAAAA-3'); LhcB1.4f (5'-CGGCCTCCG-AAGATTTGG-3') and LhcB1.4r (5'-GGTGGCTTGGAGCTTT-3'); At1g55240f (5'-CCATCCCTTTCGATCGATG-3') and At1g55240r (5'-CCGTGCTCGATCCAGGACTA-3'); At2g17500f (5'-CCAGCAATC-TGCGATGAGG-3') and At2g17500r (5'-GGACCCTTAATCAGCCG-GA-3'); LHYf (5'-ACGAAACAGGTAAGTGCGACA-3') and LHYr (5'-TGGAACATCTTGAACCGCGTT-3').

To analyze expression of transgenic *gai-1* in the *Hsp::gai-1* seedlings, we used an oligonucleotide annealing to the 5' UTR of the *HSP18.2* gene, which is included in the construct, as the forward primer (5'-CCCGAAAAGCAACGAACAAT-3'), and an oligonucleotide annealing to the *gai-1* coding region as the reverse primer (5'-TCATTCATCATCATAGTCTTCTTATCTTGA-3'). Expression of *EF1- α* was used to normalize all expression data, as described by Frigerio *et al.* (2006).

Analysis of chlorophyll content

Chlorophyll levels were measured as described by Neff and Chory (1998).

Supplementary Material

The following supplementary material is available for this article online:

Figure S1. Transgenic *gai-1* transcript levels transiently increase in response to a heat-shock treatment.

Figure S2. *gai-1* activity during the first 3 days after germination is sufficient to induce de-etiolation.

Figure S3. Effects of the genetic background and the *hyh* mutation on HY5-dependent GA phenotypes.

Figure S4. PAC dose-response assays for various *pif* mutants and transgenic lines.

Figure S5. GA signaling does not affect *PIF* expression levels in dark-grown seedlings.

This material is available as part of the online article from <http://www.blackwell-synergy.com>.

Please note: Blackwell publishing are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supplementary materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

Acknowledgments

We thank T. Takahashi (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan) for the *pTT101* vector, M.L. Ballesteros (INIA, Madrid, Spain), C. Fankhauser (University of Lausanne, Switzerland), E. Huq (University of Texas, Austin, USA), G. Choi (KAIST, Daejeon, South Korea) and P. Quail (Plant Gene Expression Center, Albany, California, USA) for *laf1*, *hfr1* and *pif4*, *pif1* and *pif3-1*, *PIF3ox* and *PIF3-myc*, and *srl2* seeds, respectively, and K. Schumacher for antibodies against DET3. We also thank F. Parcy (CNRS, Grenoble, France) and S. Prat (Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, Madrid, Spain) for useful discussions and for sharing data prior to publication. This work was supported by grants from the Spanish Ministry of Education and the EMBO Young Investigator Program to M.A.B. D.A. was successively supported by a 'Ramón y Cajal' contract from the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia and by a contract from the Fundación de la Comunidad Valenciana para la Investigación Agroalimentaria 'Agroalimed'. V.R. holds a 'Ramón y Cajal' contract from the Spanish MEC. C.M. was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Spanish MEC.

References

- Achard, P., Vriegen, W.H., Van Der Straeten, D. and Harberd, N.P. (2003) Ethylene regulates Arabidopsis development via the modulation of DELLA protein growth repressor function. *Plant Cell*, **15**, 2816–2825.
- Achard, P., Liao, L., Jiang, C., Desnos, T., Bartlett, J., Fu, X. and Harberd, N.P. (2007) DELLAs contribute to plant photomorphogenesis. *Plant Physiol.* **143**, 1163–1172.
- Alabadi, D., Gil, J., Blázquez, M.A. and García-Martínez, J.L. (2004) Gibberellins repress photomorphogenesis in darkness. *Plant Physiol.* **134**, 1050–1057.
- Al-Sady, B., Ni, W., Kircher, S., Schafer, E. and Quail, P.H. (2006) Photoactivated phytochrome induces rapid PIF3 phosphorylation prior to proteasome-mediated degradation. *Mol. Cell*, **23**, 439–446.
- Anderson, S.L., Somers, D.E., Millar, A.J., Hanson, K., Chory, J. and Kay, S.A. (1997) Attenuation of phytochrome A and B pathways by the Arabidopsis circadian clock. *Plant Cell*, **9**, 1727–1743.
- Ang, L.H. and Deng, X.W. (1994) Regulatory hierarchy of photomorphogenic loci: allele specific and light-dependent interaction between the HY5 and COP1 loci. *Plant Cell*, **6**, 613–628.
- Ang, L.H., Chattopadhyay, S., Wei, N., Oyama, T., Okada, K., Batschauer, A. and Deng, X.W. (1998) Molecular interaction between COP1 and HY5 defines a regulatory switch for light control of Arabidopsis development. *Mol. Cell*, **1**, 213–222.
- Ballesteros, M.L., Bolle, C., Lois, L.M., Moore, J.M., Vielle-Calzada, J.P., Grossniklaus, U. and Chua, N.H. (2001) LAF1, a MYB transcription activator for phytochrome A signaling. *Genes Dev.* **15**, 2613–2625.
- Bauer, D., Viczian, A., Kircher, S. *et al.* (2004) Constitutive photomorphogenesis 1 and multiple photoreceptors control degradation of phytochrome interacting factor 3, a transcription factor required for light signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, **16**, 1433–1445.

- Bradford, M. M.** (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* **72**, 248–254.
- Casal, J.J., Fankhauser, C., Coupland, G. and Blázquez, M.A.** (2004) Signaling for developmental plasticity. *Trends Plant Sci.* **9**, 309–314.
- Clough, S.J. and Bent, A.F.** (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* **16**, 735–744.
- Deng, X.W., Caspar, T. and Quail, P.H.** (1991) cop1: a regulatory locus involved in light-controlled development and gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* **5**, 1172–1182.
- Dill, A., Thomas, S.G., Hu, J., Steber, C.M. and Sun, T-p.** (2004) The *Arabidopsis* F-box protein SLEEPY1 targets gibberellin signaling repressors for gibberellin-induced degradation. *Plant Cell*, **16**, 1392–1405.
- Djakovic-Petrovic, T., de Wit, M., Voeselek, L.A. and Pierik, R.** (2007) DELLA protein function in growth responses to canopy signals. *Plant J.* **51**, 117–126.
- Duek, P.D. and Fankhauser, C.** (2003) HFR1, a putative bHLH transcription factor, mediates both phytochrome A and cryptochrome signaling. *Plant J.* **34**, 827–836.
- Duek, P.D., Elmer, M.V., van Oosten, V.R. and Fankhauser, C.** (2004) The degradation of HFR1, a putative bHLH class transcription factor involved in light signaling, is regulated by phosphorylation and requires COP1. *Curr. Biol.* **14**, 2296–2301.
- Fankhauser, C., Yeh, K.C., Lagarias, J.C., Zhang, H., Elich, T.D. and Chory, J.** (1999) PKS1, a substrate phosphorylated by phytochrome that modulates light signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Science*, **284**, 1539–1541.
- Folta, K.M., Pontin, M.A., Karlin-Neumann, G., Bottini, R. and Spalding, E.P.** (2003) Genomic and physiological studies of early cryptochrome 1 action demonstrate roles for auxin and gibberellin in the control of hypocotyl growth by blue light. *Plant J.* **36**, 203–214.
- Frigerio, M., Alabadi, D., Pérez-Gómez, J., García-Cárcel, L., Phillips, A.L., Hedden, P. and Blázquez, M.A.** (2006) Transcriptional regulation of gibberellin metabolism genes by auxin signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **142**, 553–563.
- Fu, X., Richards, D.E., Fleck, B., Xie, D., Burton, N. and Harberd, N.P.** (2004) The *Arabidopsis* mutant sleepy^{1^{gar2-1}} protein promotes plant growth by increasing the affinity of the SCF^{SLY1} E3 ubiquitin ligase for DELLA protein substrates. *Plant Cell*, **16**, 1406–1418.
- Gil, J. and García-Martínez, J.L.** (2000) Light regulation of gibberellin A₁ content and expression of genes coding for GA 20-oxidase and GA 3 β -hydroxylase in etiolated pea seedlings. *Physiol. Plant.* **108**, 223–229.
- Hardtke, C.S., Gohda, K., Osterlund, M.T., Oyama, T., Okada, K. and Deng, X.W.** (2000) HY5 stability and activity in *Arabidopsis* is regulated by phosphorylation in its COP1 binding domain. *EMBO J.* **19**, 4997–5006.
- Höfgen, R. and Willmitzer, L.** (1990) Biochemical and genetic analysis of different patatin isoforms expressed in various organs of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Plant Sci.* **66**, 221–230.
- Holm, M., Ma, L.G., Qu, L.J. and Deng, X.W.** (2002) Two interacting bZIP proteins are direct targets of COP1-mediated control of light-dependent gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* **16**, 1247–1259.
- Hsieh, H.L., Okamoto, H., Wang, M., Ang, L.-H., Matsui, M., Goodman, H. and Deng, X.-W.** (2000) FIN219, an auxin regulated gene, defines a link between phytochrome A and the downstream regulator COP1 in light control of *Arabidopsis* development. *Genes Dev.* **14**, 1958–1970.
- Huq, E.** (2006) Degradation of negative regulators: a common theme in hormone and light signaling networks? *Trends Plant Sci.* **11**, 4–7.
- Huq, E. and Quail, P.H.** (2002) PIF4, a phytochrome-interacting bHLH factor, functions as a negative regulator of phytochrome B signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *EMBO J.* **21**, 2441–2450.
- Huq, E., Al-Sady, B., Hudson, M.E., Kim, C., Apel, K. and Quail, P.H.** (2004) PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR 1 is a critical bHLH regulator of chlorophyll biosynthesis. *Science*, **305**, 1937–1941.
- Kim, Y.M., Woo, J.C., Song, P.S. and Soh, M.S.** (2002) HFR1, a phytochrome A signaling component, acts in a separate pathway from HY5, downstream of COP1 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* **30**, 711–719.
- Kim, J., Yi, H., Choi, G., Shin, B., Song, P.-S. and Choi, G.** (2003) Functional characterization of PIF3 in phytochrome-mediated light signal transduction. *Plant Cell*, **15**, 2399–2407.
- Koornneef, M., Rolff, E. and Spruit, C.J.P.** (1980) Genetic control of light-inhibited hypocotyl elongation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. *Z. Pflanzenphysiol.* **100**, 147–160.
- Li, J., Nagpal, P., Vitart, V., McMorris, T.C. and Chory, J.** (1996) A role for brassinosteroids in light-dependent development in *Arabidopsis*. *Science*, **272**, 398–401.
- Lorrain, S., Allen, T., Duek, P.D., Whitelam, G.C. and Fankhauser, C.** (2007) Phytochrome-mediated inhibition of shade avoidance involves degradation of growth-promoting bHLH transcription factors. *Plant J.* doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03341.x.
- Lorrain, S., Genoud, T. and Fankhauser, C.** (2006) Let there be light in the nucleus! *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **9**, 509–514.
- Ma, L., Gao, Y., Qu, L., Chen, Z., Li, J., Zhao, H. and Deng, X.W.** (2002) Genomic evidence for COP1 as a repressor of light-regulated gene expression and development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*, **14**, 2383–2398.
- Matsuhara, S., Jingo, F., Takahashi, T. and Komeda, Y.** (2000) Heat-shock tagging: a simple method for expression and isolation of plant genome DNA flanked by T-DNA insertions. *Plant J.* **22**, 79–86.
- McGinnis, K.M., Thomas, S.G., Soule, J.D., Strader, L.C., Zale, J.M., Sun, T.-p. and Steber, C.M.** (2003) The *Arabidopsis* SLEEPY1 gene encodes a putative F-box subunit of an SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase. *Plant Cell*, **15**, 1120–1130.
- McNeils, T.W., von Arnim, A.G., Araki, T., Komeda, Y., Misera, S. and Deng, X.W.** (1994) Genetic and molecular analysis of an allelic series of cop1 mutants suggests functional roles for the multiple protein domains. *Plant Cell*, **6**, 487–500.
- Monte, E., Tepperman, J.M., Al-Sady, B., Kaczorowski, K.A., Alonso, J.M., Ecker, J.R., Li, X., Zhang, Y. and Quail, P.H.** (2004) The phytochrome-interacting transcription factor, PIF3, acts early, selectively, and positively in light-induced chloroplast development. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **101**, 16091–16098.
- Neff, M.M. and Chory, J.** (1998) Genetic interactions between phytochrome A, phytochrome B, and cryptochrome 1 during *Arabidopsis* development. *Plant Physiol.* **118**, 27–35.
- Neff, M.M., Fankhauser, C. and Chory, J.** (2000) Light: an indicator of time and place. *Genes Dev.* **14**, 257–271.
- Oh, E., Kim, J., Park, E., Kim, J.I., Kang, G. and Choi, G.** (2004) PIL5, a phytochrome-interacting basic helix-loop-helix protein, is a key negative regulator of seed germination in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell*, **16**, 3045–3058.
- Oh, E., Yamaguchi, S., Hu, J., Yusuke, J., Jung, B., Paik, I., Lee, H.S., Sun, T.P., Kamiya, Y. and Choi, G.** (2007) PIL5, a phytochrome-interacting bHLH protein, regulates gibberellin responsiveness by binding directly to the GA1 and RGA promoters in *Arabidopsis* seeds. *Plant Cell*, **19**, 1192–1208.
- Osterlund, M.T., Wei, N. and Deng, X.W.** (2000a) The roles of photoreceptor systems and the COP1-targeted destabilization of HY5

- in light control of Arabidopsis seedling development. *Plant Physiol.* **124**, 1520–1524.
- Osterlund, M.T., Hardtke, C.S., Wei, N. and Deng, X.W.** (2000b) Targeted destabilization of HY5 during light-regulated development of Arabidopsis. *Nature*, **405**, 462–466.
- Oyama, T., Shimura, Y. and Okada, K.** (1997) The Arabidopsis HY5 gene encodes a bZIP protein that regulates stimulus-induced development of root and hypocotyl. *Genes Dev.* **11**, 2983–2995.
- Park, E., Kim, J., Lee, Y., Shin, J., Oh, E., Chung, W.I., Liu, J.R. and Choi, G.** (2004) Degradation of phytochrome interacting factor 3 in phytochrome-mediated light signaling. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **45**, 968–975.
- Peng, J., Carol, P., Richards, D.E., King, K.E., Cowling, R.J., Murphy, G.P. and Harberd, N.P.** (1997) The Arabidopsis GAI gene defines a signaling pathway that negatively regulates gibberellin responses. *Genes Dev.* **11**, 3194–3205.
- Peng, Z., Serino, G. and Deng, X.W.** (2001) A role of Arabidopsis COP9 signalosome in multifaceted developmental processes revealed by the characterization of its subunit 3. *Development*, **128**, 4277–4288.
- Reid, J.B., Botwright, N.A., Smith, J.J., O'Neill, D.P. and Kerckhoffs, L.H.J.** (2002) Control of gibberellin levels and gene expression during de-etiolation in pea. *Plant Physiol.* **128**, 734–741.
- Shen, H., Moon, J. and Huq, E.** (2005) PIF1 is regulated by light-mediated degradation through the ubiquitin-26S proteasome pathway to optimize photomorphogenesis of seedlings in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **44**, 1023–1035.
- Sibout, R., Sukumar, P., Hattiarachchi, C., Holm, M., Muday, G.K. and Hardtke, C.S.** (2006) Opposite root growth phenotypes of *hy5* versus *hy5 hyh* mutants correlate with increased constitutive auxin signaling. *PLoS Genet.* **2**, 1898–1911.
- Szekeress, M., Németh, K., Koncz-Kálmán, Z., Mathur, J., Kauschmann, A., Altmann, T., Rédei, G.P., Nagy, F., Schell, J. and Konz, C.** (1996) Brassinosteroids rescue the deficiency of CYP90, a cytochrome P450, controlling cell elongation and de-etiolation in Arabidopsis. *Cell*, **85**, 171–182.
- Vandenbussche, F., Verbelen, J.P. and Van Der Straeten, D.** (2005) Of light and length: regulation of hypocotyl growth in Arabidopsis. *Bioessays*, **27**, 275–284.
- Vandenbussche, F., Habricot, Y., Condiff, A.S., Maldiney, R., Van Der Straeten, D. and Ahmad, M.** (2007) HY5 is a point of convergence between cryptochrome and cytokinin signaling pathways in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J.* **49**, 428–441.
- Vriezen, W.H., Achard, P., Harberd, N.P. and Van Der Straeten, D.** (2004) Ethylene-mediated enhancement of apical hook formation in etiolated Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings is gibberellin dependent. *Plant J.* **37**, 505–516.
- Wei, N., Kwok, S.F., von Arnim, A.G., Lee, A., McNeills, T.W., Piekos, B. and Deng, X.W.** (1994) Arabidopsis COP8, COP10, and COP11 genes are involved in repression of photomorphogenic development in darkness. *Plant Cell*, **6**, 629–643.